LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of June 1, 2014

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for the year beginning August 17, 2013. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, the Local Implementing Agencies, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	85	6	81	48	17
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	356	28	41	23	2
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	1	2	113	53	8
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	501	11	373	115	13
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	4	0	181	22	3
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	78	2	4	1	0
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	139	10	132	20	6
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	23	4	44	49	16
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	54	1	31	4	2
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	57	0	135	38	6
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	42	3	23	34	0
TOTALS	1,340	67	1,158	397	73

.

ⁱ Columns "Open cases as of 8/17/13" and "Cases Closed Since 8/17/13" have been removed

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	128	18	72	33	13
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	2	0	5	4	2
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	4	5	2	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	77	3	2	2	1
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	5	0	9	9	1
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	12	1	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	22	0	157	116	13
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	16	15	20	13	2
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	64	1	72	32	4
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	72	21	194	39	6
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	21	0	33	38	18
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	38	0	41	31	1
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	12	0	106	33	8
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	20	0	49	55	16
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	17	2	125	65	6
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	6	0	27	11	3
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	0	1	39	24	5
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	3	0	95	35	12
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	0	0	16	15	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	27	1	19	12	4
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	0	0	23	18	4
TOTALS	546	68	1,106	585	119

-

ⁱ Columns "Open cases as of 8/17/13" and "Cases Closed Since 8/17/13" have been removed

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	143	0	0	143	3	29	31	83
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	326	2	1	323	80	227	52	44
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	101	0	1	100	73	92	1	7
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	755	1	0	754	44	261	449	44
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	163	0	0	163	2	22	76	65
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	67	0	0	67	0	18	30	19
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	256	0	3	253	3	31	92	130
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	66	0	2	64	8	41	4	19
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	80	0	0	80	6	46	13	21
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	176	0	1	175	6	66	68	41
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	60	0	1	59	31	33	26	0
SWRCB-DWQ	120	4	0	116	48	115	0	1
UST CLEAN UP FUND	18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,331	25	9	2,297	304	981	842	474

¹ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was "open" as of 8/17/2012

PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

Value of the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

¹ Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

vii Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

viiiClosure Expected Afer 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expecte d in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	187	2	14	171	18	81	90	0
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	7	0	0	7	2	7	0	0
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	11	0	0	11	5	8	1	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	68	0	0	68	40	59	0	9
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	12	0	0	12	5	9	3	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	8	0	0	8	2	7	0	1
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	162	1	0	161	11	55	100	6
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	41	0	32	9	0	4	3	2
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	115	2	0	113	24	76	23	14
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	243	0	0	243	28	77	151	15
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	47	0	0	47	20	22	20	5
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	66	0	0	66	4	46	19	1
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	98	2	0	96	11	49	47	0
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	58	1	1	56	2	16	40	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	138	0	1	137	14	96	39	2
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	32	0	0	32	20	29	0	3
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	34	0	0	34	11	19	14	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	96	0	1	95	3	43	48	4
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	16	0	1	15	1	5	10	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	39	0	0	39	11	29	4	6
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	21	0	0	21	3	21	0	0
TOTALS	1,499	8	50	1,441	235	758	612	71

¹ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was "OPEN" as of 8/17/2012

PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

vi Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

vii Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

viii Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCB ⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed	
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	124	62	263	

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012 ⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolved ⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ - PETITIONS	71	17	2	4	13	29	32	78	10

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.
ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.